IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 53 of 2006 (M/B)

1. Burhan S/o Asharaf.
2. Tabassum Naaz W/o Burhan,
   Both R/o Village Banderjud.
   P.S. Ranipur, District Haridwar. …… Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal
   through Senior Superintendent of Police,
   Haridwar, District Haridwar.
2. Station Officer,
   P.S. Ranipur, District Haridwar.
3. Mohd. Suleman S.o Shabbir Ahmad,
   R/o Village Bhatpur, P.S. Fatehpur,
   District Saharanpur. …….. Respondents

Mr. Parikshit Saini, learned counsel for the petitioners.
Assistant Government Advocate for respondents Nos. 1 and 2.

Hon'ble B.S. Verma, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. By means of this writ petition, the petitioners have prayed for issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing respondents Nos. 1 and 2 to provide adequate scurity to the petitioners by way of police protection. As per the averments in the writ petition, respondent No. 3 who is opposed to the marriage of the petitioners, is unnecessarily harassing them and is interfering in their privacy and personal liberty. Petitioners also allege danger to their life. It is evident that till date no FIR has been registered against the petitioners, but there is averment in the affidavit that respondent No. 3 is resorting to unlawful activities in the manner referred above.

3. Considering the relief claimed in the petition, it will be difficult that the District Administration may provide permanent surveillance to the petitioners. However, it will be just and proper to permit the petitioners to submit a representation to the District Authorities, who shall take into consideration the averment made in the representation and see that no physical harm is caused to the petitioners by respondent No. 3.

4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition is disposed of finally.

(B.S. Verma, J.)
12.01.2006

MK